Gravitational wave signals from Long Gamma Ray Bursts jets **Gerardo Urrutia Center for Theoretical Physics, Warsaw, Poland** gurrutia@cft.edu.pl





Agnieszka Janiuk (CFT, Poland), Fabio De Colle (UNAM, Mexico), Claudia Moreno (UdG, Mexico), Michele Zanolin (ERU, USA)

NATIONAL SCIENCE CENTRE







Frequency / Hz



Frequency / Hz



Frequency / Hz

Gamma-Ray Bursts (progenitors)



Levan et al. 2014

Multi-messenger Astrophysics: GRBs Non-photonic signals + Electromagnetic counterparts

Long GRB jet

Urrutia, Janiuk & Olivares in prep (performed with BHAC code)

Urrutia, Janiuk & Olivares in prep (performed with BHAC code)

~10¹¹ cm

High opacity

Jet Dynamics ?

0

~10¹¹ cm

High opacity

Jet Dynamics ?

0

GW Signals?

10 s

GW memory from ultra-relativistic sources

Braginskii & Thorne 1987, Segalis & Ori 2001, Sago 2004, Akiba et al. 2013, Birnholtz & Piran (2018), Leiderschneider & Piran 2021

$$^{\nu} = \int m \, u^{\mu}(\tau) u^{\nu}(\tau) \, \delta^{(4)}[x - x(\tau)] d\tau$$

$$\bar{h}^{\mu\nu} = -16\pi T^{\mu\nu}$$

$${}^{\mu\nu} = -4m \frac{u^{\mu}(\tau)u^{\nu}(\tau)}{-u_{\alpha} \cdot [x - x(\tau)]^{\alpha}}$$

GW memory from ultra-relativistic sources

$$h_{+} \equiv h_{xx}^{TT} = -h_{yy}^{TT} = \frac{2G}{c^4} \frac{\beta^2 \sin^2 \theta_v}{D \left(1 - \beta^2 \cos \theta_v\right)} \cos 2$$

$$h_{\times} \equiv h_{xy}^{TT} = h_{yx}^{TT} = \frac{2G}{c^4} \frac{\mathcal{B}}{D} \frac{\beta^2 \sin^2 \theta_v}{1 - \beta^2 \cos \theta_v} \sin 2\Phi$$

(e.g., Urrutia et al. 2023)

Initial conditions SRHD simulations with AMR Mezcal Code (De Colle et al 2012)

• Stellar striped envelope WR (Woosley & Heger 2006) 10^{10} — 12 TH - wind $\dot{M} = 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$ Interpolation ••• *r*ini 10⁷ -104 ρ [gr/cm³] 10¹ - 10^{-2} - 10^{-5} · 10^{-8} 10^{-11} - 10^{-14} 108 10⁹ 10^{10} 10^{11} 10¹² 10^{7} *r* [cm] Initial Conditions Size of AMR computational box

Jet dynamics

GW signal

$t_{\rm obs} = t - \cos\phi\sin\theta_{\rm obs}R/c - \cos\theta_{\rm obs}z/c$

Detectability

 $h \propto \frac{E}{Dc^4}$ $E = 10^{52} \,\mathrm{erg}$ $D = 1 \,\mathrm{Mpc}$ $ASD = 2f^{1/2} |\tilde{h}(f)|$

Sensitivity curves from Moore et al. 2014

Detector	Distance [Mpc]		Rate $[yr^{-1}]$		
	5°	70°	$0^\circ - 10^\circ$	$10^\circ-40^\circ$	$40^\circ - 90^\circ$
LIGO O4	$1.5 imes 10^{-2}$	$5.1 imes 10^{-2}$	1.5×10^{-12}	1.9×10^{-10}	4.2×10^{-10}
VIRGO O4	2.2×10^{-2}	2.2×10^{-2}	7.3×10^{-13}	1.8×10^{-11}	$3.6 imes 10^{-11}$
KAGRA	$7.3 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.3 imes 10^{-2}$	1.6×10^{-14}	2.1×10^{-12}	5.0×10^{-12}
Einstein Telescope	3.5×10^{-1}	$5.0 imes 10^{-1}$	$3.9 imes 10^{-10}$	$2.3 imes 10^{-8}$	$5.3 imes 10^{-8}$
Cosmic Explorer	3.0×10^{-1}	5.3×10^{-1}	3.4×10^{-10}	2.8×10^{-8}	6.4×10^{-8}
LISA	8.5×10^{-2}	1.5×10^{-2}	5.5×10^{-11}	3.7×10^{-10}	4.0×10^{-11}
ALIA	6.4	$3.7 imes 10^{-1}$	1.3×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-5}	$4.5 imes 10^{-7}$
DECIGO	$6.0 imes 10^2$	$1.8 imes 10^1$	7.5	2.2	1.0×10^{-1}
BBO	6.0×10^{2}	2.1×10^{1}	7.9	2.5	1.2×10^{-1}

Urrutia, De Colle, Moreno & Zanollin (2022)

Gehrels, Ramirez-Ruiz & Fox (2009)

Detector	Distance [Mpc]		Rate $[yr^{-1}]$		
	5°	70°	$0^\circ - 10^\circ$	$10^\circ - 40^\circ$	$40^\circ - 90^\circ$
LIGO O4	$1.5 imes 10^{-2}$	$5.1 imes 10^{-2}$	1.5×10^{-12}	1.9×10^{-10}	4.2×10^{-10}
VIRGO O4	$2.2 imes 10^{-2}$	$2.2 imes 10^{-2}$	7.3×10^{-13}	1.8×10^{-11}	$3.6 imes 10^{-11}$
KAGRA	$7.3 imes 10^{-3}$	$2.3 imes 10^{-2}$	1.6×10^{-14}	2.1×10^{-12}	5.0×10^{-12}
Einstein Telescope	$3.5 imes 10^{-1}$	5.0×10^{-1}	$3.9 imes 10^{-10}$	$2.3 imes 10^{-8}$	5.3×10^{-8}
Cosmic Explorer	3.0×10^{-1}	5.3×10^{-1}	3.4×10^{-10}	2.8×10^{-8}	6.4×10^{-8}
LISA	$8.5 imes 10^{-2}$	$1.5 imes 10^{-2}$	5.5×10^{-11}	$3.7 imes 10^{-10}$	4.0×10^{-11}
ALIA	6.4	$3.7 imes 10^{-1}$	1.3×10^{-5}	1.2×10^{-5}	4.5×10^{-7}
DECIGO	$6.0 imes 10^2$	$1.8 imes 10^1$	7.5	2.2	1.0×10^{-1}
BBO	$6.0 imes 10^2$	$2.1 imes 10^1$	7.9	2.5	1.2×10^{-1}
			A Contraction of the second of		a a show sac here all all

Urrutia, De Colle, Moreno & Zanollin (2022)

Gehrels, Ramirez-Ruiz & Fox (2009)

High frequency?

Gottlieb et al. 2023

$$h \approx 10^{-22} \frac{40 \text{ Mpc}}{D} \frac{E}{10^{53} \text{ erg}}$$
$$E_{\text{cocoon}} = 10^{52} - 10^{53} \text{ erg}$$
$$\Delta t \propto 10^{-4} \text{ s} \quad \text{(Temporal resolution)}$$

Storage ~ Petabytes

10³

Our Currently methodology

Jet dynamics (simulations)

New methodology

New implementation Jet dynamics (simulations) + GW signals

Post-processing (GW signals)

Detectability

Detectability

Estimation of GW signals during the jet propagation (not post-processing)

Urrutia (in Prep)

Estimation of GW signals during the jet propagation (not post-processing)

Urrutia (in Prep)

Conclusions

- A new generation of observatories will observe GW signals from GRB jets.
- The rate of detection by DECIGO and BBO may be $10 \, \text{GRBs} \, \text{yr}^{-1} \, \text{Gpc}^{-3}$
- Jet parameters: injection time, L(t), velocity, size of GRB progenitor, acceleration region, jet observing angle are strongly connected to the shape of the GW signal.
- GW signal will provide unique information about the early jet dynamics, the progenitor and the physics of central engine.

Thank you! - ¡Gracias!

Gerardo Urrutia gurrutia@cft.edu.pl

NATIONAL SCIENCE CENTRE